



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/723,664 | 11/25/2003 | Joseph Patrick Burke | 040053/QUALP837US | 8086 |
| 76797 | 7590 | 02/23/2009 | | |
| Amin, Turocy & Calvin LLP 127 Public Square 57th Floor, Key Tower Cleveland, OH 44114 | | | | |
| EXAMINER | | | | |
| CHIAN, RICHARD | | | | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 2618 | | | | |
| NOTIFICATION DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 02/23/2009 | | ELECTRONIC | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket1@thepatentattorneys.com

hholmes@thepatentattorneys.com

lpasterchek@thepatentattorneys.com

| | | | |
|---|--|------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | | 10/723,664 | BURKE ET AL. |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | | RICHARD CHAN | 2618 |

All Participants:

(1) RICHARD CHAN.

(2) Adam Slepecky (#61,170).

Date of Interview: 9 February 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2PM

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 102(b) anticipated by Ito (EP 1 089 578 A2)

Claims discussed:

Claim 9

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed rejection of independent claim 9.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Richard Chan/
Examiner, Art Unit 2618

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)